INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| | 11 (1) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference JWJ01277EP FOR FURTH | | | FOR FURTHER A | CTION | See Form PCT/IPEA/416 |
| International application No. International filist PCT/US2005/023426 29.06.2005 | | | International filing date 29.06.2005 | (day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) 29.06.2004 |
| 1 . | tional Patent Clar A01N49/00 | esification (IPC) or na | ational classification and | IPC | |
| Applica UNIVI | | LORIDA RESEA | RCH FOUNDATIO | N, INC. | |
| 1. 7 | This report is the | e international pre Article 35 and trar | liminary examination r nsmitted to the applica | eport, established by that according to Article 3 | is International Preliminary Examining 6. |
| 2. T | his REPORT o | onsists of a total c | of 8 sheets, including t | his cover sheet. | |
| 3. 7 | his report is als | so accompanied by | y ANNEXES, comprisi | ng: | · |
| a | ı. 🛛 sent to tl | ne applicant and to | the International Bure | eau) a total of 3 sheets | s, as follows: |
| | and/ | ts of the description or sheets containing inistrative Instructi | ng rectifications author | ings which have been a ized by this Authority (s | mended and are the basis of this report ee Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the |
| | beyo | ts which supersec and the disclosure plemental Box. | le earlier sheets, but w in the international app | rhich this Authority cons plication as filed, as indi | siders contain an amendment that goes icated in item 4 of Box No. I and the |
| b | seguence | e listing and/or tabl | les related thereto, in e | ndicate type and numbe electronic form only, as the Administrative Instr | er of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a indicated in the Supplemental Box ructions). |
| | | | | | |
| 4. T | his report conta | ains indications rel | ating to the following i | tems: | |
| ⊳ | Box No. I | Basis of the repo | ort | | |
| | - | Priority | | | |
| × | _ | - | ent of opinion with rega | ard to novelty, inventive | step and industrial applicability |
| | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of i | · - | • | |
| × | Box No. V | Reasoned stater applicability; cita | ment under Article 35(2 tions and explanations | 2) with regard to novelty supporting such stater | r, inventive step or industrial nent |
| | Box No. VI | Certain documer | nts cited | | |
| × | Box No. VII | Certain defects in | n the international app | lication | • |
| × | Box No. VIII | Certain observat | ions on the internation | al application | |
| Date of | submission of the | demand | | Date of completion of thi | is report |
| | | | | | |
| 05.04.2006 | | | | 10.11.2006 | |
| Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: | | | | Authorized officer | authorizes Polaniano |
| | D-80298 M | | | Marie, Gérald | active of the court of the cour |
| | Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 | | | Telephone No. +49 89 2 | 399-2571 |
| | = 1 UNI 170 U | | | 1 010 PHONE NO. 443 03 Z | Ollice outon. |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/023426

| | Box | No. l | Basis of the report | |
|---------|-------------|------------------------------------|---|----|
| — 1. | | | d to the language , this report is based on | |
| | | _ | ternational application in the language in which it was filed | |
| 2. | □ With | a trans of a tra inte put inte | slation of the international application into, which is the language anslation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) blication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a)) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a)) d to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets while a furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this | ch |
| | repo | ort as " | originally filed" and are not annexed to this report): | |
| | Desc | eription | n, Pages as originally filed | |
| | Clair | ns, Nu | mbers | |
| | 1-27 | | as originally filed | |
| | | a sequ | uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing | |
| 3. | | ☐ the☐ the☐ the☐ the☐ | mendments have resulted in the cancellation of: e description, pages e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing (specify): y table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): | |
| 4. | had Supj | not be plemer the the the the any | eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below een made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ntal Box (Rule 70.2(c)). de description, pages e claims, Nos. de drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing (specify): y table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): | ! |
| | * | TF it | em 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded." | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/023426

| Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| ١. | The | ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- povious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: | | | | |
| | | the entire international application, | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | claims Nos. 1-21 | | | | |
| | bec | eause: | | | | |
| | | the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify): | | | | |
| | | the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): | | | | |
| | | the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify). | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 1-21 | | | | |
| | | a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit: | | | | |
| | | If turnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. | | | | |
| | | ☐ furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. | | | | |
| | | pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2. | | | | |
| | | a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. | | | | |
| | | the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. | | | | |
| | | See separate sheet for further details | | | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/023426

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

4,5,9,10,12-21,26,27

No: Claims

1,3,6-8,11,22-25

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

1-8,10-27

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-27

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

International application No.

PCT/US2005/023426

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

- 1. The subject-matter of the newly filed set of claims has been broadened in certain aspects and restricted in some others, as compared to the original set of claims.
 - The subject-matter of new filed claims 1, 2 and 18 refers respectively to a method for controlling a leafhopper population with an insect growth regulator (IGR) and the use thereof for controlling said population. However, the search has been carried out on the subject-matter as originally filed which concerned juvenile hormone (JH) analogs. Although said analogs belong to the IGR-family (as stressed in the application on page 4, lines 10-19), the search has not been made for other IGR. Since there has been no search report established on said broadened scope, the newly filed set of claims will not be discussed in the present report.
- 2. In addition, the newly filed set of claims does not comply with the requirements of Article 34(2)(b) PCT because it extends beyond the disclosure as originally filed <u>at least</u> for the following reasons:
 - Most of the proposed embodiments, some of them being extracted from list or examples, which have been introduced in the amended set of claims (including amended dependent claims) were originally linked to JH analogs, JH or even to specific examples (e.g. methoprene). The fact of generalising said original subject-matter to IGR and claiming said new subject-matter contravenes the requirements of Article 34(2)(b) PCT. The specific combination of features which results therefrom was not to be found in the application as filed.
- 3. For those reasons, the present report has been established on the basis of the set of claims as originally filed.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

International application No.

PCT/US2005/023426

citations and explanations supporting such statement

The documents to which this communication refers are numbered in their order of appearance in the international search report.

1. Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

- 1.1 The composition of independent claim 22 comprises:
 - I) a juvenile hormone analog, and
 - ii) at least one additional agent that is effective at controlling a leafhopper or treehopper pest.

The feature "that is is effective at controlling a leafhopper or treehopper pest" is a functional limitation. Functional features can only be allowed if a person skilled in the art would have no difficulty in providing some means of performing this function without exercing inventive skill (PCT Guidelines paragraph 5.21). In the present case, the skilled artisan would have to carry out undue experimentation to test every single pesticidal agent in order to check if a composition comprising a juvenile hormone analog and said agent falls under the subject-matter of claim 22. The subject-matter of independent claim 22 and dependent claims 23-27 is therefore not allowable.

An <u>incomplete search</u> on said subject-matter however revealed some documents which anticipate the subject-matter of claims 22-25. Indeed, documents **D10-D34** (see *abstracts* and *CAS Registry Numbers*) disclose insecticidal compositions comprising a juvenile hormone analog and an insecticide. Without evidence of the contrary, said documents **anticipate the subject-matter of claims 22-25**.

1.2 D1 (abstract) discloses a method of controlling sharpshooters, in particular Oncometopia facialis, Dilobopterus costalimai and Acrogonia terminalis, by chemical sterilization using pyriproxyfen among other compounds.
 Said document thus anticipates the subject-matter of claims 1-3, 6-8 and 11.

D2-D6 (see cited parts in the international search report) disclose the use of juvenile-

International application No.

PCT/US2005/023426

hormone analogs for combatting insect pests, in particular leafhoppers, such as *Empoasca onukii* or *Arboridia apicalis*.

Said documents thus anticipate the subject-matter of claim 1.

D7 and **D8** (abstracts) disclose the use of juvenile hormone analogs to sterilize leafhoppers.

Said documents thus anticipate the subject-matter of claim 1.

2. Inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT)

Preliminary remark:

Compositions claims can only be regarded as involving an inventive step if their subject-matter exhibits a non-obvious and/or surprising effect. In the present case, said effect is linked to the technical effect as disclosed in the method claims. Inventive step could therefore only be acknowledged for compositions which exhibit a new and unforeseeable pesticidal effect.

- 2.1 The subject-matter of claims 26 and 27 is regarded as a normal design for the skilled artisan.
- 2.2 Since it is known from **D1** that sharpshooters can be controlled by pyriproxyfen, the subject-matter of **claims 4-8** and **10** is not considered to involve an inventive step in the case where the juvenile hormone analog is pyriproxifen.
- 2.3 In view of **D2-D6** (see cited parts in the international search report), it further appears that the subject-matter of claims 5 and 14-21 does not involve any inventive step because:
 - the man skilled in the art would not had to invest inventive skills to adapt the teachings of said documents, namely that *Empoasca onukii* and grape leafhopper are controlled by a juvenile hormone analog, to the treatment of potato leafhopper (*Empoasca fabae*) and vineyard or grapevine (claims 5, 14 and 15);
 - the subject-matter of claims 16 is regarded as a normal design for the skilled artisan;
 - the subject-matter of claims 17 to 21 is suggested by said documents.

International application No.

PCT/US2005/023426

3. Industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT) is acknowledged for the whole subject-matter as claimed.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- 1. References to other documents may relate directly to the disclosure of the invention. If the matter the documents refer to is essential to satisfy the requirements of Article 5 PCT, this matter should be incorporated *expressis verbis* in the description because the patent specification should be self-contained regarding the essential features of the invention, i.e. capable of being understood without reference to any document. As a consequence, sentences or part of sentences including the expression "*incorporated herein by reference in its entirety*" should not be used (PCT Guidelines 4.26).
- 2. The units employed in the present application are not additionally expressed in terms of the units stipulated by Rule 10.1(a) and (b) PCT.
- 3. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the cited documents is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1. The first paragraph of the description is superfluous (see also VII.1 above).
- 2. The paragraph on page 27, lines 19-22 lead to doubt concerning the matter for which protection is sought (PCT Guidelines C.III-4.3a).

32

Claims

We claim:

1. A method for controlling a leafhopper population with an insect growth regulator (IGR) said method comprising:

contacting said leafhopper population with said IGR; and

affecting the reproductive system of a female leafhopper while in diapause, thereby controlling said leafhopper population.

2. A method for controlling a leafhopper population, wherein said leafhopper population is a glassy-winged sharpshooter (*Homolodisca coagulata*) population, said method comprising:

contacting said glassy-winged sharpshooter population with an insect growth regulator (IGR); and

affecting the reproductive system of a female leafhopper, wherein said female leafhopper is a female glassy-winged sharpshooter, thereby controlling said glassy-winged sharpshooter population.

- 3. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein said IGR is a juvenile hormone analog.
- 4. The method of claim 3, wherein said juvenile hormone analog is selected from the group consisting of epofenonate, fenoxycarb, hydroprene, kinoprene, methoprene, pyriproxyfen, triprene, and a combination of two or more of the foregoing.
- 5. The method of claim 4, wherein said juvenile hormone analog is selected from the group consisting of methoprene, kinoprene, and hydroprene.
- 6. The method of claim 5, wherein said juvenile hormone analog is methoprene.
- 7. The method of claim 2, wherein said female glassy-winged sharpshooter is in diapause.

33

- 8. The method of claim 2, wherein said female glassy-winged sharpshooter is a newly enclosed adult glassy-winged female.
- 9. The method of claim 3, wherein said female leafhopper is reproductively active.
- 10. The method of claim 3, wherein oviposition of said female leafhopper is suppressed or eliminated.
- 11. The method of claim 3, wherein said juvenile hormone analog interferes with oviposition of said female leafhopper.
 - 12. The method of claim 1, wherein said female leafhopper is a sharpshooter.
- 13. The method of claim 12, wherein said female sharpshooter is a glassy-winged sharpshooter (*Homolodisca coagulata*).
- 14. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein said IGR is formulated in a formulation selected from the group consisting of a liquid, a spray, a dust, a granule, and an aerosol.
- 15. The method of claim 1 or 2, wherein contacting said leafhopper population is by means selected from the group consisting of spraying, dusting, and sprinkling.
- 16. The method of claim 3, wherein said juvenile hormone analog is applied to any part of a plant.
- 17. The method of claim 1 or 2, further comprising applying at least one additional pesticidal agent wherein said additional pesticidal agent is not a juvenile hormone analog.
- 18. Use of an insect growth regulator (IGR) for controlling a leafhopper population during diapause, wherein said IGR affects oviposition of a female leafhopper to thereby control said leafhopper population.
 - 19. Use of claim 18, wherein said IGR is a juvenile hormone analog.

34

- 20. Use of claim 18, wherein said juvenile hormone analog is selected from the group consisting of epofenonate, fenoxycarb, hydroprene, kinoprene, methoprene, pyriproxyfen, triprene, and a combination of two or more of the foregoing.
- 21. Use of claim 18, wherein said female leafhopper is a glassy-winged sharpshooter (*Homolodisca coagulata*).